#### Memorandum

Date:

March 25, 2010

To:

Golden Gate Division

Attention: Dee Silva

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Napa Area

File No.:

325.12135.14290

Subject:

2010 FIRST QUARTER COMMAND CHAPTER 7 INSPECTION

This memorandum is intended to serve as the written response to the 2010 first quarter Chapter 7 inspection for Napa Area. The Napa Area management and supervisors actively oversee injury and illness case management to ensure that Area adheres to the policy contained in Highway Patrol Manual (HPM) 10.7, Injury and Illness Case Management Manual.

#### **FINDINGS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP:**

No findings were identified which require follow-up.

Questions regarding this response may be directed to me or Lieutenant Masako Nielsen at (707) 253-4906.

M. A. RASMUSSEN, Captain

Commander

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

# **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM** FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command: Division: Napa Area Golden Gate		Chapter:			
Inspected by: S. R. Lunardi,	Date: 3/25/2010	20			

. age 1 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, con	Inspection docume	on number. Under "Forwant shall be utilized to doc	ard to:" enter the nex ument innovative pra					
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Total hours expended	d on the	Corrective Action Plan Included					
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		inspection:		☐ Attachments Included				
☐ Executive Office Level		12		Attachments included				
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to: 1 Gate Division						
☐ Yes		ate: 4/1/2010						
Chapter Inspection: 7			<b>《色数据录》</b> 自由	<b>心脉引起外形形形成</b>				
surviens in discount of the will be and								
Inspector's Comments Regar	raing ir	inovative Practices	i.					
Command Suggestions for S	tatewio	de Improvement:						
Inspector's Findings:								
Command is in complia	ince w	th policy outlined in	n HPM 10.7.					
Commander's Response:	Concu	ır or 🗌 Do Not Cor	cur (Do Not Conc	ur shall document basis for response)				
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged,								

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

## **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM** FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

. age 2 of 2

Command: Napa Area	and the state of t		
Inspected by: S. R. Lunardi,	ID 14290	Date: 3/25/2010	

Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.  (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	3-25-10
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 3-25-10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee  Concur  Do not concur	C. G. A.	4, 12,10

Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

## DMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

Command: Napa Area				
Evaluated by: S. R. Lunardi,	Date: 3/25/2010			
Assisted by: P. Brown, ID A8433		Date: 3/25/2010		

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. A "No," "N/A," or any other discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.							
<u> </u>							
TYPE OF INSPECTION  Lead Inspector's Signature:							
☐ Division Level ☐ Command	d Level	Mar Rasm For Ser. Lunes					
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary	Self-Inspection	TOK SEL SOM					
	-up Inspection	Commande	er's Signature:			Date:	
☐ Yes ☐ No			er 10	em			
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.7							
ote: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, th		tion shall	be utilized	d for expl	anation.		
1. Has the command posted the required Notice to State Employees, in a prom	inent place?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
Has the command posted a Safety ar     Protection on the job notice in a prom		⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
Has the command posted a Cal-OSHA S-11 notice in a conspicuous place?			□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	5/	
Has the commander prepared a Com Memorandum for distribution to injure expressing their desire to assist the e resume normal duty, outlining departr and employee rights and responsibilit	d employees mployee nental policy,	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
<ol><li>Does the command maintain a curren Injury and Illness Status Report?</li></ol>		⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
6. Has the command provided required in Comm-Net to the appropriate next lev regarding employees who are off duty occupational injury or illness for 30 ca more?	rel of command as a result of	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
7. Does the command maintain a curren	t OSHA 300?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
Is the OSHA 300 log secured due to reconfidentiality and has it been regular hased on employee's health status ch	ly updated	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:		

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### DMIMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

				111-	
9.	Have injury and illnesses been recorded in the OSHA 300 log within six workdays of the specific occurrence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Has a Cal-OSHA number been assigned to every injury and illness which is recorded in the OSHA 300 log?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11.	Has the command forwarded the previous year's OSHA 300 log to their respective Division (January 15 <sup>th</sup> for Areas, communication centers, inspection facilities, and Academy or February 15 <sup>th</sup> for Divisions and Headquarters commands)?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
 12.	Was/or is the OSHA 300A (Summary of Work Related Injury and Illnesses) posted in a prominent place from February 1 <sup>st</sup> of the following year until April 30 <sup>th</sup> ?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the command maintain a current five year record of the OSHA 300 log which is current?		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the command maintain a current five year record of CHP 121s which is current?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15.	Have CHP 121s been completed by a supervisor within 24 hours of the notification of the alleged injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
16.	Has the commander or his/her designee signed all CHP 121s within three days of notification of the injury or illness?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Have all CHP 121s been filed with the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF) within five days of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
18.	Have all CHP 121As been completed within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19.	Have all CHP 121As been signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
20.	Have all CHP 121Bs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21.	Have all CHP 121Cs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### DMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

22	2. Have all CHP 442s been updated accordingly within three days of notification of an employee injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks
	B. Have supervisors (if applicable) provided CHP 443s to the employees' medical care providers?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24	Have supervisors provided CHP 600s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25	i. Have supervisors provided CHP 601s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26	b. Have supervisors provided SCIF 3301s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27	7. Has the command prepared and posted/distributed the memorandum advising employees of the command's authorized medical providers and/or facilities	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28	Has the command sent Comm-Net messages to the Office of Risk Management (ORM) Disability and Retirement Unit (DRU) within 24 hours of the notification of a nondisabling or disabling occupational injury or illness?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
29	Does the command have copies of approved medical care providers posted for employees?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Have all employees who voluntarily participated in the Annual Fitness Challenge completed a medical prescreening questionnaire?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31	. Has the command provided an Options Letter (if applicable) to an employee who has been medically determined to be permanently precluded from returning to their regular job duties?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
32	In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, was the appropriate assistant commissioner or designee contacted immediately?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
33	In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, were the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) and the Office of Risk Management contacted by telephone within eight hours?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: